

R
SUMMARY OF NORDEN GYRO PACKAGE PERFORMANCE

S/N I	S/N II	S/N III	S/N IV
6/17/63 1.0 Received. Package tested at P.E. to eliminate possibility of damage in shipment. 1.1 Test Consisted of: Simple test conducted due to certified test report from Norden; and lack of adequate test bed at P.E.			4/25/64 1.0 Estimated Delivery to P.E.
7/18/63 1.1.1 Measured D.C. voltage from the pkg proportional to pitch rate; a rough check of gyro scale factor. 1.1.2 Measured D.C. voltages from each axis in presence of an unknown rate input. Check polarity of voltage vs compatibility with IC static system. 1.1.3 Roll gyro output measured continuously for 2 hours. Wide band noise present approx. 60mV. P-P.	7/19/63 1.0 Received 1.1 Preliminary test conducted on S/N I. Package appeared to be acceptable.		
8/1/63 2.0 Initial Attempt to operate stab. 10/18/63 2.1 Found gyro pitch and yaw axes inoperative.	10/19/63 2.0 Package installed in IC system to replace inoperative S/N I package. 3.0 Stabilization tests on aeroflex flight simulator table conducted. 3.1 Platform moved well within specification #35 arc sec/sec) for all disturbances applied by aeroflex table (ref report to R.C. Baldwin from T.M. Miller dated 11/14/63).		
10/25/63 2.2 Norden discovers burned out pick-off flex leads. 2.3 Investigation conducted. Norden contends package mishandled by P.E. personnel. Contamination denied by P.E. However, inspection of P.E. packaging shows evidence substituted to Norden showing their contention untenable. Cause of failure still unknown. 2.4 Gyros repaired at P.E. expense.	10/19/63 2.2 2-Jeps @300mV P-P output from pitch gyro noted. Not known whether actual platform motion or gyro noise. Investigation postponed due to inoperative attitude sensor. 3.3 Gyro outputs measured at later date noise not present. (We now know due to insufficient warm-up.)	3/18/64 1.0 S/N III undergoing final Q.C. Test at Norden; 3/19/64 3.4 IC system installed on aeroflex table for final preflight test. 3.4.1 Stabilization initially operative (under static conditions). 3.4.2 Increasing Jeps signal from pitch gyro noted. Signal determined to be generated from gyro package itself. Signal capable of reinforcement when IC platform drives at Jeps.	
3/10/64 3.0 Repaired Unit returned to P.E.	3/18/64 3.4.3 Decide to remove gyro pkg and replace with S/N 3 gyro package.	3/18/64 1.1 Noise measurement made and was 30mV P-P @3cps on the pitch and yaw axes; 30mV P-P wide band on the roll axis.	
3/18/64 4.0 Gyro turned on to investigate noise problem in view of noise output put of S/N 2. 4.1 After 1 hour warm up time pitch and yaw gyros exhibit same noise voltages as S/N 2 pitch and yaw axes 70mV P-P @ 3cps.	3/18/64 3.4.4 Decision made to obtain S/N 3 package for "C" system. Norden agrees to "loan" P.E. S/N 3 package while they investigate problem.	3/18/64 1.2 Decision made to obtain S/N 3 package for "C" system. Norden agrees to "loan" P.E. S/N 3 package while they investigate problem.	
5.0 Test conducted in P.E. conference room in front of Norden personnel. Norden personnel suggest excessive noise due to actual input from conference room floor.	3/18/64 3.4.5 Although the "best" gyro package seen by P.E. to date has the following drawbacks.	3/18/64 1.3 Although the "best" gyro package seen by P.E. to date has the following drawbacks.	
5.1 Same test conducted at Norden. Gyro mounted on seismic pier. Noise output the same as P.E.	3/18/64 3.4.6 Noise output is low but is at a single, coherent frequency on the pitch and yaw axes.	3/18/64 1.4 The gyro bandwidth is only 6 cps wide thereby forcing P.E. to reduce its torque gain and therefore its torque stabilization capability. Under maximum disturbance torques expected the minimum stabilization rate would be approx. 70 - 100 arc sec/sec.	
5.2 Norden agrees problem is real and serious. P.E. requests immediate investigation of problem and presentation of method of investigation before proceeding. Norden agrees to provide same. To date P.E. has no information regarding the above agreement.	3/18/64 3.4.7 Present Location: Installed in "D" System.	3/18/64 1.5 Pertinent P.E. Performance Specifications are:	
5.3 Est. completion of repair 4-28-64 A. Est. delivery date 5-15-64 B. Will delay conclusive stab. testing on "D" by 3 weeks. C. Means no spare (for "C") available in interim.	3/18/64 3.4.8 Present Location: Installed in "C" system.	3.1.1 GYRO SYSTEM SENSITIVITY - $\pm 5/63$ millivolts d.c. per degree per hour. 3.1.3 FREQUENCY RESPONSE OF GYRO SYSTEM - This system shall have the capability of sensing sinusoidal rates up to 15 cps with not greater than 90° degrees (lag) and 3 db. attenuation at 15 cps. 3.1.6 SYSTEM NOISE (PEAK-PEAK) - 12 arc-sec/sec maximum with 15 cps bandwidth. 3.1.15 PERFORMANCE DURING VIBRATION - All the performance requirements of section 3.1 must be accomplished while being vibrated at 0.0g maximum from 0 to 500 cps.	
Present Location: Norden Corporation			PREP. BY PJC 4/2/64